PATENT COOPERATION TREATS

Fron INTE		ONAL SBARCE	IING AUTHO	RITY		RANG		
To:						PCT PCT		
					W INTERNAT	RITTEN OPINION OF THE TONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
					Date of mailing			
_					(day/month/year)			
		agent's filo refere	ace.		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
		04065						
		pplication No. 2004/01	5557	International filing date 09.11.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12,11,2003		
Intere	ational I	atont Classification	on (TPC) or both	national classification ar	dipc	1		
J-		MILLS, I	NC.					
1,	This	opinion contains i	indications relat	ing to the following items	:			
	\boxtimes	Box No. I						
		Box No. II	Basis of the					
		Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	XX	Box No. IV	Lack of unity		,,,,	were me industrial approaching		
	\boxtimes	Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability;	tement under Rule 43bis, citations and explanation	l(a)(i) with regard to a s supporting such state	ovelty, inventive step or industrial ment		
	Ш	Box No. VI	Certain docu					
	Ш	Box No. VII	Certain defec	ts in the international app	lication			
	Ш	Box No. VIII	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
2.	FURT	THER ACTION						
	than th	is one to be the l	PBA and the cl			be considered to be a written opinion of the y where the applicant chooses an Authority other m under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of		
	If this writter PCT/I:	opinion is, as pro a reply logether, SA/220 or before	ovided above, o where appropri the expiration o	onsidered to be a written ate, with amendments, 1 f 22 months from the pric		the applicant is invited to submit to the IPBA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form pires later.		
	Por fu	ther options, see I	Form PCT/ISA/	220.				
3.	For fu	ther details, see n	otes to Form PC	T/ISA/220.				
mc at	nd mailir	ng address of the I	SA/JP	T	Authorized officer			
					VINCENTING OFFICEL			
				1				
relimit	o No			1				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/016557

	Box N	o. I	Basis of this opinion
	1. V	Vith r	ogard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the Janguage in which it wa inless otherwise indicated under this item.
ı] 1	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
ı		****	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under take 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
ĺ	2. W	ith r	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimer, na, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
l	a	ı, t	tpe of material
ı			a sequence listing
l			table(s) related to the sequence listing
1	Ь	. fo	emat of material
l			in written format
l			in computer readable form
l	c.	tin	ne of filing/furnishing
l			contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	3.		addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or trailed, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as did or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4	. Adi	dition	al comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/016557

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:	
paid additional fees	
paid additional fees under protest	
not paid additional fees	
2. It is Authority found that the requirement of waity of invention is not compiled with and chose not to invite the approximation of the compiled with an additional feet.	licant to pay
This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is compiled with	
not complied with for the following reasons:	
What is common between claims 16 and 13 is a vegetable fat composition containing a long-chain highly unsaturated fatty acid. However, this is a publicly-known vegetable fat composition as described in Laid-Open Patent No. 2201-226693, for example, and thus cannot be considered to be a technical feature that makes an advantageous difference over the prior art. Therefore, these two claims do not share special technical features, and these groups of inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.	
	İ
	ĺ
	1
4. Consequently this opinion has been actabilished in contract to the contract of the contract	
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:	
the parts relating to claims Nos.	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/016557

Box No. V Reasoned stateme citations and expl	nt under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inve- anations supporting such statement	ntive step or industrial applicability;	-
. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-12, 23, 24 Claims 13-22		YI
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-12, 23, 24 Claims 13-22		YI
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-24		YE

2. Citations and explanations:

'Document 1: Hirofumi Kato, Borage yu no seijo to oyo, Fragrance Journal, 1996, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 77-81

52369 A (Illinois Tool Woks, Inc.), 19 February, 2002

Document 2: JP 5-304972 A (Union Industrial y Agro-Ganadera, S.A.), 19 November 1993& EP 484266 A1 & CA 2054409A & ZA 9108443 A

Document 3: JP 2003-306690 U (Noble K.K.), 31 October 2003 (Family: none)

Document 4: JP 11-89513 A (Societe des Produits Nestle S.A.), 6 April 1999 & BP 893064 A1 & AU 9877323 A & KR 99013725 A

& US 6297279 B1 & DE 69718455 B

Claims 13-19 and 22

The inventions of claim 13-19 do not appear to possess novelty based on the fact that the vegetable fat composition of claims 13-19 cannot be distinguished from the borage oil described in document 1 as cited in the ISR.

Similarly, the inventions of claims 13-19 and 22 do not appear to possess novelty based on the fact that the vegetable fat composition described therein cannot be distinguished from the vegetable fat composition described in documents 2-4.

Claims 20 and 21

The inventions of claim 20 and 21 do not appear to involve an inventive step because a person skilled in the art can easily use an arachidonic acid as the long-chain n-6 unsaturated fatty acid based on the descriptions of documents 2 and 4.